

E Ò Ö Ø Š Õ Ö Å Ä F G Đ F Đ H E

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION**

Case No. CR 13-00252 DLJ HRL

**STIPULATION AND []
ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS
CONFERENCE AND TO EXCLUDE
TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT**

V.

Defendant.

The parties have engaged in preliminary discussions regarding settlement in this matter.

The defense has provided discovery to the government and intends to provide additional

1 discovery to the government in the coming weeks. The parties agree that the status conference
2 should be continued in order to allow defense counsel to provide the information to the
3 government for its review.

4 The parties agree that the time between December 12, 2013, and February 13, 2014,
5 should be excluded from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, which excludes delay when the
6 interests of justice in allowing for the effective preparation of the defense outweigh the best
7 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, taking into account the exercise of due
8 diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

9
10 IT IS SO STIPULATED:
11

12
13 Dated: December 9, 2013

NOLAN, ARMSTRONG & BARTON LLP

14
15 /s/
DANIEL B. OLMOS
Attorney for Defendant Sanjeev Bais

16
17 Dated: December 9, 2013

18
19 /s/
THOMAS MOORE
Assistant United States Attorney

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

9 UNITED STATES,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12
13 SANJEEV BAIS,


14 Defendant.
15

Case No. CR 13-00252 DLJ HRL

**[] ORDER TO CONTINUE
STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE
TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT**

16 GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, it is hereby ordered that the status conference
17 in this matter now scheduled for December 12, 2013, be continued to February 13, 2014, at 9:00
18 a.m. Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court also finds that
19 the time between December 12, 2013, and February 13, 2014, shall be excluded from calculations
20 under the Speedy Trial Act. The interests of justice in allowing for the effective preparation of the
21 defense and continuity of counsel outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a
22 speedy trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).
23

24
25 Dated: ~~FCF~~ ~~CFH~~



The Hon. D. Lowell Jensen
United States District Judge